

Registration: Cost Function Weighting and Small FOV















Pathological Image Registration



Scenario:

Have images containing a known pathology (or artefact) which looks different in different imagesFor example, some sequences (e.g. FLAIR) highlightlesions that are hard to see in other sequences

Objective:

Align the images based on the healthy tissue, but "ignoring" the area of the pathology (or artefact)

Solution:

Cost-Function Weighting (FLIRT or FNIRT)



Cost Function Weighting



Artefacts and pathologies introduce *non-matching* image regions

Cost (similarity) functions assume that all of the images can be matched

Use a *weighting image* to down-weight nonmatching regions



weighting image



weighting image



black=0; white=1



Cost Function Weighting

- All FLIRT & FNIRT cost functions can be weighted
- Weighting for reference image, input image or both
- Voxel weights are *relative*, reflecting its importance in overall matching
 - Zero, or small, values for corrupted areas e.g. gross pathology or artefact
 - Large values for important areas/regions e.g. ventricular matching
- Do not assign zero to the background as then the brain/background contrast is lost



Small FOV Registration



Scenario:

Functional study using a small FOV (e.g. a few slices) Often done to obtain better resolution scans over ROI

Objective:

Get activation results registered well to the full brain (and standard space)

Solution:

Scan one whole-brain EPI and use a 3-stage registration

If your FMRI scans only cover part of the brain...

Acquire one wholebrain EPI volume: it only takes a few seconds to scan but makes registration work much better

Then use the 3-stage approach

	Misc	Data Pre-stats Registration Stats Post-stats
	-	Expanded functional image
		epi_whole_brain
		Linear Normal search - 3 DOF (translation-only)
	Ē	-Main structural image
		structural_brain
		Linear Normal search — BBR —
	F	-Standard space
		/usr/local/fsl/data/standard/MNI152_T1_2mm_brain
		Linear Normal search - 12 DOF -
		Nonlinear 🗖

Partial Brain EPI & Unwarping

In partial FOV studies, registration is *massively* improved by multi-stage registration:

- I. Partial Brain to Full Brain EPI
 - Desirable for full brain to contain exactly the same slices so that registration is simple (can be done without unwarping)
 - If slices are different or movement is significant, then unwarping should be applied (outside of FEAT)
- 2. Full Brain EPI to Structural
 - apply unwarping (full brain field map)
- 3. Structural to Standard

Can be run entirely within the FEAT GUI





Full Brain Single Image (an extra acquisition but only takes seconds!)







Troubleshooting Registrations

- Check the images: voxel sizes, artefacts, large bias field
- Check the brain extraction: look for large/consistent errors
- For EPI: acquire and use fieldmap to unwarp distortion
- For FMRI or diffusion: use multi-stage registration (e.g. via GUIs) with a structural image for best results
- If pathologies/artefacts exist: use cost-function deweighting
- If images are nearly aligned: try limiting the search
- For FLIRT: can try different cost functions
- For FNIRT: check initial affine alignment is OK
- For small FOV: acquire whole-brain EPI for multi-stage reg



Advanced Registration

- 2D 3D Registration
- Severe Pathology
- Surface-based Registration e.g. connectivity-driven (FMRI)
- Other Image Modalities e.g. diffusion imaging data MR Spectroscopic Imaging (MRSI)



from Lew, S. M. (2014) 3(3), 208.





Registration: Cost Function Weighting and Small FOV

Summary:

- Pathologies, artefacts and inconsistencies create problems for registration
- Can mitigate this with cost function weighting
- Important to keep the background (weight=1)
- Small FOV is very challenging to register
- Use whole brain scan to help with multi-stage registration